

Limit points of fractional parts of geometric sequences *

Hajime Kaneko

Abstract

Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number and ξ a nonzero real number. In this paper, we compute the range of the fractional parts $\{\xi\alpha^n\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). In particular, we estimate the maximal and minimal limit points. Our results show, for example, that if $\theta (= 24.97\dots)$ is the unique zero of the polynomial $2X^2 - 50X + 1$ with $X > 1$, then there exists a nonzero ξ^* satisfying $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi^* \theta^n\} \leq 0.02127\dots$. On the other hand, we also prove for any nonzero ξ that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \theta^n\} \geq 0.02003\dots$

1 Introduction

Koksma [11] proved for nonzero ξ that the geometric progressions $\xi\alpha^n$ ($n \geq 0$) are uniformly distributed modulo 1 for almost all $\alpha > 1$. He also showed for $\alpha > 1$ that $\xi\alpha^n$ ($n \geq 0$) are uniformly distributed modulo 1 for almost all real ξ . There is, however, no criterion of uniform distribution for the series $\xi\alpha^n$ ($n \geq 0$) with given $\alpha > 1$ and $\xi \neq 0$.

Let μ be the Haar measure of the torus \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} with $\mu(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = 1$. We write the canonical map from \mathbb{R} onto \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} by τ . For any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we call $J = \tau(I)$ an interval in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

We take $\alpha > 1$ and $\xi \neq 0$. Let $J(\alpha, \xi)$ be one of the shortest interval in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} containing all limit points of $\xi\alpha^n \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ ($n \geq 0$). Note that $J(\alpha, \xi)$ is uniquely determined unless the set of limit points of $\xi\alpha^n \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ ($n \geq 0$) consists of two elements. We now recall the definition of Pisot and Salem numbers. Pisot numbers are algebraic integers greater than 1 whose conjugates different from themselves have absolute values strictly less than 1. Salem numbers are algebraic integers greater than 1 which have at least one conjugate with modulus 1 and exactly one conjugate outside the unit circle. Pisot [14] proved for an algebraic $\alpha > 1$ and a nonzero ξ that if the sequence $\xi\alpha^n \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ ($n \geq 0$) has only finitely many limit points, then α is a Pisot number and $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. For further details of powers of Pisot and Salem numbers we refer the reader to [2].

We put

$$\mu(\alpha, \xi) = \mu(J(\alpha, \xi)).$$

For example, $J(\alpha, 1) = \{0 \bmod \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mu(\alpha, 1) = 0$, where α is a Pisot number, because the trace of α^n is a rational integer. Tijdeman [15] proved for every

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 11J71, 11J54, 11J25, 11A63

half integer $\alpha = N/2 > 2$ that there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ such that

$$\mu(\alpha, \xi) \leq \frac{1}{2(\alpha - 1)}.$$

Flatto [8] pointed out that, for each rational $\alpha = a/b > 1$, there is a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ with

$$\mu(\alpha, \xi) \leq \frac{b-1}{b(\alpha-1)} = \frac{b-1}{a-b}. \quad (1.1)$$

He proved the inequality above using Tijdeman's method.

Koksma's Theorem implies that if $\alpha > 1$ is given, then, for almost all ξ , the set

$$\{\xi\alpha^n \bmod \mathbb{Z} | n = 0, 1, \dots\}$$

is dense in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . In particular, $\mu(\alpha, \xi) = 1$. On the other hand, Tijdeman [15] showed that if $\alpha > 2$ is given, then there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ with

$$\{\xi\alpha^n\} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\{\xi\alpha^n\}$ denotes the fractional part of $\xi\alpha^n$. In particular, such α and ξ satisfy

$$\mu(\alpha, \xi) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha-1}. \quad (1.3)$$

The author [10] proved the following:

Let ξ be a nonzero real number. Take arbitrary positive numbers δ and M . Then there exists an α satisfying $\alpha > M$ and

$$\mu(\xi, \alpha) \leq \frac{1+\delta}{\alpha}.$$

Let $\iota (= 2.025\dots)$ be the unique solution of $34X^3 - 102X^2 + 75X - 16 = 0$ with $X > 2$. Dubickas [7] verified for $1 < \alpha < \iota$ that there is a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ such that

$$\mu(\alpha, \xi) \leq 1 - \frac{2(\alpha-1)^2}{9(2\alpha-1)^2}. \quad (1.4)$$

It is easy to check that if $2 < \alpha < \iota$ is given, then

$$1 - \frac{2(\alpha-1)^2}{9(2\alpha-1)^2} < \frac{1}{\alpha-1}.$$

Thus (1.4) is stronger than (1.3) for $2 < \alpha < \iota$. We now review Dubickas's estimation of maximal and minimal limit points of the sequence $\{\xi\alpha^n\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). Let us define notation about polynomials and algebraic numbers. Let $B(X) = b_m X^m + \dots + b_0$ be an arbitrary polynomial with real coefficients. We denote the length of $B(X)$ by

$$L(B) = |b_m| + \dots + |b_0|.$$

Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number with minimal polynomial $P_\alpha(X) = a_d X^d + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, where $a_d > 0$ and $\gcd(a_d, \dots, a_0) = 1$. Define the length of α by

$$L(\alpha) = L(P_\alpha(X)).$$

Put furthermore

$$L_+(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^d \max\{0, a_i\}, \quad L_-(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^d \max\{0, -a_i\}.$$

Next, let $l(\alpha)$ be the reduced length of α defined by

$$l(\alpha) = \min\{l'(\alpha), l'(\alpha^{-1})\},$$

where

$$l'(\alpha) = \inf_{B(X) \in \mathbb{R}[X]} \{L(B(X)P_\alpha(X)) \mid B(X) \text{ is monic}\}.$$

Formulae about $l(\alpha)$ and $l'(\alpha)$ were studied by Dubickas [5]. Take a nonzero real ξ . If α is a Pisot or Salem number, then assume $\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. We write the integral part of a real number x by $[x]$. Dubickas [6] showed that the sequence

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} [\xi \alpha^{n-i}] \right) \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots)$$

is not ultimately periodic. In particular,

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} [\xi \alpha^{n-i}] \right| \geq 1$$

for infinitely many $n \geq 0$ because nonzero integers occur infinitely many times in this sequence. Since

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \xi \alpha^{n-i} = \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} ([\xi \alpha^{n-i}] + \{\xi \alpha^{n-i}\}),$$

we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi \alpha^{n-i}\} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} [\xi \alpha^{n-i}] \right| \geq 1$$

for infinitely many n . Thus we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{L_+(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{L_-(\alpha)} \right\}. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, Dubickas [6] proved

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} \geq \frac{1}{l(\alpha)}. \quad (1.6)$$

In this paper, we calculate the range of the sequence $\{\xi \alpha^n\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) in the case where $\alpha > 1$ is an algebraic number. The main results are stated in Section 2 and proved in Section 6. First, we construct a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ and improve (1.3), (1.4) by giving an interval in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} which includes all limit points of the sequence $\xi \alpha^n \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ ($n \geq 0$). Next, we give new estimation of the maximal and minimal limit points of the sequence $\{\xi \alpha^n\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). The auxiliary results are given in Sections 3, 4, and 5. Moreover, in Section 7 we introduce Mahler's Z-numbers (cf. [3, 8, 9, 12]) and discuss their generalization.

2 Main results

At first, we sharpen the inequality (1.3) in the case where $\alpha > 1$ is an algebraic number whose conjugates different from itself have absolute values less than 1. For $t, m \geq 1$, put

$$\rho_m(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t = m = 0 \\ 0 & t = 0, m \geq 1 \\ \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_t \geq 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_t = m}} X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_t^{i_t} & t \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number of degree d and let $a_d(> 0)$ be the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of α . We denote the conjugates of α by $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$. Assume that $|\alpha_j| < 1$ for $2 \leq j \leq d$. Let*

$$\nu = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} |\rho_h(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)|. \quad (2.2)$$

Then there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ such that

$$\mu(\alpha, \xi) \leq \frac{(a_d - 1)\nu}{a_d(\alpha - 1)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that if α is a rational number, then (2.3) coincides with (1.1). Next, we consider the case where α is a quadratic irrational number. We give an interval in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} which includes $J(\alpha, \xi)$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $\alpha > 1$ be an quadratic irrational number and $P_\alpha(X)$ be its minimal polynomial. We denote the leading coefficient of $P_\alpha(X)$ by $a_2(> 0)$. Assume that the conjugate α_2 of α has the absolute value less than 1 and that $a_2 \geq 2$.*

(1) *If $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$, then there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ such that, for any $n \geq 0$*

$$\{\xi\alpha^n\} < \frac{a_2 - 1}{|P_\alpha(1)|}.$$

In particular,

$$J(\xi, \alpha) \subset \tau \left(\left[0, \frac{a_2 - 1}{|P_\alpha(1)|} \right] \right).$$

(2) *If $-1 < \alpha_2 < 0$, then there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ such that*

$$J(\xi, \alpha) \subset \tau \left(\left[\frac{(a_2 - 1)\alpha_2}{a_2(\alpha - 1)(1 - \alpha_2^2)}, \frac{a_2 - 1}{a_2(\alpha - 1)(1 - \alpha_2^2)} \right] \right).$$

Example 2.1. Let $\theta_1 (= 24.97 \dots)$ be the unique zero of the polynomial $2X^2 - 50X + 1$ with $X > 1$. Then by Tijdeman's result (1.2) there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\theta_1)$ with

$$\{\xi\theta_1^n\} \leq \frac{1}{\theta_1 - 1} = 0.04170 \dots$$

for each $n \geq 0$. Since the conjugate of θ_1 is on the interval $(0, 1)$, by Corollary 2.2 there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\theta_1)$ such that for each $n \geq 0$

$$\{\xi\theta_1^n\} < \frac{1}{47} = 0.02127\dots$$

We now compare these estimations with the Dubickas's lower bound (1.5) of the maximal limit point. For any nonzero ξ we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\theta_1^n\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{L_+(\theta_1)}, \frac{1}{L_-(\theta_1)} \right\} = \frac{1}{50} = 0.02.$$

Note that the first statement of Corollary 2.2 gives an upper bound of the maximal limit point of the sequence $\{\xi\alpha^n\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). We generalize this estimation in the case where $\alpha > 1$ is an algebraic number with arbitrary degree whose conjugates different from itself are on the interval $(0, 1)$. Next, we give also an upper bound of the difference between the maximal and minimal limit points in the case where the absolute values of the conjugates of α different from itself are sufficiently small.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number of degree d . We denote the conjugates of α by $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$. Moreover, let $P_\alpha(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of α and $a_d(> 0)$ its leading coefficient. Suppose that $a_d \geq 2$.*

(1) *Assume that*

$$0 < \alpha_j < 1 \quad (2 \leq j \leq d).$$

Then there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ satisfying

$$\{\xi\alpha^n\} < \frac{a_d - 1}{|P_\alpha(1)|}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

(2) *Let ν be defined by (2.2). Assume that, for any j with $2 \leq j \leq d$,*

$$|\alpha_j| < 1$$

and that

$$\frac{a_d - 1}{a_d(\alpha - 1)}\nu < \frac{1}{2}, \quad |P_\alpha(1)| \geq 2.$$

Then there is a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\alpha)$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\alpha^n\} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\alpha^n\} \leq \frac{a_d - 1}{a_d(\alpha - 1)}\nu.$$

REMARK 2.1. If the absolute values of the conjugates of α different from itself are sufficiently small, then the assumptions of the second statement of Theorem 2.3 follow. In fact, they are rewritten by

$$\nu = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} |\rho_h(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)| < \frac{a_d(\alpha - 1)}{2(a_d - 1)}$$

and

$$\prod_{i=2}^d |1 - \alpha_i| \geq \frac{2}{a_d(\alpha - 1)}.$$

Example 2.2. We give an example of the first statement. Let $\theta_2 (= 24.69 \dots)$ be the unique solution of $2X^3 - 50X^2 + 15X - 1 = 0$ with $X > 1$. Then Tijdeman's result (1.2) implies that there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\theta_2)$ with

$$\{\xi\theta_2^n\} \leq \frac{1}{\theta_2 - 1} = 0.04219 \dots$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Since θ_2 is an algebraic number of degree 3 whose conjugates different from itself are on the interval $(0, 1)$, the first statement of Theorem 2.3 means that there is a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\theta_2)$ satisfying

$$\{\xi\theta_2^n\} < \frac{1}{34} = 0.02941 \dots$$

for any n .

On the other hand, Dubickas's lower bound (1.5) implies that if $\xi \neq 0$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\theta_2^n\} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{L_+(\theta_2)}, \frac{1}{L_-(\theta_2)} \right\} = \frac{1}{51} = 0.01960 \dots$$

Example 2.3. We introduce an example of the second statement of Theorem 2.3. Let $\theta_3 (= 25.01 \dots)$ be the unique positive zero of the polynomial $2X^2 - 50X - 1$. Then, by Tijdeman's result (1.2) there exists a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\theta_3)$ fulfilling

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\theta_3^n\} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\theta_3^n\} \leq \frac{1}{\theta_3 - 1} = 0.04163 \dots$$

Theorem 2.3 means there is a nonzero $\xi = \xi(\theta_3)$ with

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\theta_3^n\} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\theta_3^n\} \leq \frac{a_d - 1}{a_d(\theta_3 - 1)} \nu = 0.02124 \dots$$

We next compare with Dubickas's lower bound (1.6). Dubickas [5] verified that if $\alpha > 1$ is a quadratic irrational number whose conjugate has absolute value less than 1, then

$$l(\alpha) = a_2\alpha + \min\{a_2, |a_0|\}.$$

Therefore, for any nonzero ξ

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\theta_3^n\} - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\theta_3^n\} \geq \frac{1}{l(\theta_3)} = 0.01959 \dots$$

Finally, we improve Dubickas's lower bound (1.5) of the maximal limit point $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi\alpha^n\}$ in the case where $\alpha > 1$ whose conjugates are all positive.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let ξ be a nonzero real number and $\alpha > 1$ an algebraic number of degree d . We denote the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of α by $a_d(> 0)$. Suppose that the conjugates of α are all positive. If α is a Pisot number, then assume further $\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. We denote the conjugates of α by $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \dots, \alpha_p, \alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d$, where $\alpha_i > 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$) and $0 < \alpha_j < 1$ ($1+p \leq j \leq d$). Put*

$$\eta_l = \sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 0 \\ j-i=l}} \rho_i(\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_p^{-1}) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d).$$

Let

$$\delta_1 = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{L_+(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{L_-(\alpha)} \right\}$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{a_d \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p} \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_l,$$

respectively. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} \geq \min \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Example 2.4. We consider the case of $\alpha = \theta_1, \theta_2$ which are defined in Examples 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. Tijdeman's result (1.2) and Dubickas's lower bound (1.5) imply

$$0.02 \leq \inf_{\xi \neq 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \theta_1^n\} \leq 0.04170 \dots$$

and

$$0.01960 \dots \leq \inf_{\xi \neq 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \theta_2^n\} \leq 0.04219 \dots$$

By using Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain

$$0.02003 \dots \leq \inf_{\xi \neq 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \theta_1^n\} \leq 0.02127 \dots$$

and

$$0.02049 \dots \leq \inf_{\xi \neq 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \theta_2^n\} \leq 0.02941 \dots,$$

respectively. In particular, Theorem 2.4 gives improvements of (1.5) in these cases.

In the case of $\alpha = \theta_2$, we calculate δ_2 in the following way. If $l \leq 0$, then

$$\eta_l = \frac{\alpha^l}{(1 - \alpha^{-1} \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha^{-1} \alpha_3)};$$

otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_l &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-i} \rho_i(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \rho_l(\alpha_2, \alpha_3) \\ &= \frac{\rho_l(\alpha_2, \alpha_3)}{(1 - \alpha^{-1}\alpha_2)(1 - \alpha^{-1}\alpha_3)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{2\alpha(1 - \alpha^{-1}\alpha_2)(1 - \alpha^{-1}\alpha_3)}.$$

Let us show that Theorem 2.4 gives the best result in the case where α is a Pisot number satisfying $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2$.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let α be a Pisot number. We denote the conjugates of α by $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$. Suppose that all α_j are positive. Let δ_1, δ_2 be defined as in Theorem 2.4. Assume further $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2$. Then*

$$\inf_{\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} = \delta_2.$$

Moreover, the infimum is attained by the transcendental number

$$\xi_0(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha \prod_{j=2}^d (1 - \alpha^{-1}\alpha_j)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-m!}.$$

By applying Theorem 2.5 in the case where α is a quadratic Pisot number, we obtain the following:

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let α be a quadratic Pisot number with the conjugate α_2 . Assume that $0 < \alpha_2 < 2 - \sqrt{2}$ ($= 0.5857\dots$). Then*

$$\inf_{\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_2}.$$

Moreover, the infimum is attained by the transcendental number

$$\xi_0(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{-m!}.$$

Example 2.5. Let $\theta_4 = 2 + \sqrt{3}$ ($= 3.732\dots$). Then the conjugate θ'_4 satisfies $0 < \theta'_4 < 2 - \sqrt{2}$. Thus Corollary 2.6 implies

$$\inf_{\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\theta_4)} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \theta_4^n\} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} = 0.2886\dots$$

3 Symmetric homogeneous polynomials

Let us introduce basic results of the symmetric polynomials $\rho_m(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ with $t, m \geq 0$ defined by (2.1). In this section we fix $t \geq 1$. The generating function of these polynomials is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \rho_m(X_1, \dots, X_t) Y^m &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \geq 0 \\ i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_t = m}} (X_1 Y)^{i_1} (X_2 Y)^{i_2} \dots (X_t Y)^{i_t} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t \geq 0} (X_1 Y)^{i_1} (X_2 Y)^{i_2} \dots (X_t Y)^{i_t} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^t (1 - X_i Y)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore

$$\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \rho_m(X_1, \dots, X_t) Y^m \right) \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - X_i Y) = 1,$$

and so, for $m \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{h=0}^{\min\{m, t\}} (-1)^h \rho_{m-h}(X_1, \dots, X_t) e_h(X_1, \dots, X_t) = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $e_h(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree h , namely,

$$e_h(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \begin{cases} 1 & (h = 0), \\ \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_h \leq t} X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_h} & (h \geq 1). \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The following result is Lemma 3.1 of [10]:

LEMMA 3.1. *If $t \geq 1$, then*

$$\rho_m(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \sum_{i=1}^t \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq t \\ j \neq i}} \frac{1}{X_i - X_j} \right) X_i^{m+t-1} \quad (3.4)$$

for any $m \geq 0$.

Let us define $\rho_m(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ also for a negative integer m by (3.4). Then we have the following:

LEMMA 3.2. *If $t \geq 1$ and if $-t + 1 \leq l \leq -1$, then*

$$\rho_l(X_1, \dots, X_t) = 0.$$

Proof. Put

$$g_m(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \sum_{h=0}^t (-1)^h \rho_{m-h}(X_1, \dots, X_t) e_h(X_1, \dots, X_t)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, there exist rational functions $b_i(X_1, \dots, X_t) \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ with $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that

$$g_m(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \sum_{i=1}^t b_i(X_1, \dots, X_t) X_i^m.$$

If $m \geq t$, then $g_m(X_1, \dots, X_t) = 0$ by (3.2). Thus $b_i(X_1, \dots, X_t) = 0$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq t$ and so

$$g_m(X_1, \dots, X_t) = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In the case of $1 \leq m \leq t-1$, by combining (3.2) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{h=m+1}^t (-1)^h \rho_{m-h}(X_1, \dots, X_t) e_h(X_1, \dots, X_t) \\ &= \sum_{h=m-t}^{-1} (-1)^{m-h} e_{m-h}(X_1, \dots, X_t) \rho_h(X_1, \dots, X_t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We now show Lemma 3.2 by induction on l . In the case of $l = -1$, we can deduce $\rho_{-1}(X_1, \dots, X_t) = 0$ by substituting $m = t-1$ into (3.6). Next, assume for l with $-t+1 \leq l \leq -2$ that

$$\rho_{-1}(X_1, \dots, X_t) = \dots = \rho_{l+1}(X_1, \dots, X_t) = 0.$$

Then, by substituting $m = t+l$ into (3.6), we obtain

$$\rho_l(X_1, \dots, X_t) = 0.$$

□

4 Representation of fractional parts

Let us recall the relation of the decimal expansion of a real number ξ to the fractional parts of the geometric sequence $\xi 10^n$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). For simplicity, assume $0 < \xi < 1$. We now write the decimal expansion of ξ by $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{-i}(10; \xi) 10^{-i}$ with $0 \leq s_{-i}(10; \xi) \leq 9$. Then

$$\{\xi 10^n\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_{-i-n}(10; \xi) 10^{-i} \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots), \quad (4.1)$$

Note that the right-hand side of (4.1) is expressed by the iteration of the shift operator to the sequence $(s_{-i}(10; \xi))_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

In this section, we give an analogue of the decimal numeral system to calculate powers of algebraic numbers; we represent the integral and fractional parts

by using the symmetric polynomials ρ_m defined in the previous section. Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number with minimal polynomial $a_d X^d + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ ($a_d > 0$). In what follows, we assume that α has no conjugate with absolute value 1. Let p be the number of the conjugates of α whose absolute values are greater than 1. Moreover, we write the conjugates of α by $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \dots, \alpha_p, \alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d$, where

$$|\alpha_i| > 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, p)$$

and

$$|\alpha_j| < 1 \quad (j = 1 + p, \dots, d).$$

We define the m -th digit of a real number ξ by

$$s_m(\alpha; \xi) = a_d [\xi \alpha^{-m}] + a_{d-1} [\xi \alpha^{-m-1}] + \cdots + a_0 [\xi \alpha^{-m-d}].$$

For instance, if $\alpha = 10$ and if $\xi \geq 0$, then the m -th digit is

$$s_m(10; \xi) = [\xi 10^{-m}] - 10 [\xi 10^{-m-1}],$$

which coincides with the usual decimal digit. Let us call $(s_m(\alpha; \xi))_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ the digital sequence of ξ . We now introduce some easy consequences from the definition.

LEMMA 4.1. (1) *If $\xi \geq 0$, then $s_m(\alpha; \xi) = 0$ for sufficiently large m .*

(2) *For any integer m ,*

$$-L_+(\alpha) < s_m(\alpha; \xi) < L_-(\alpha).$$

Proof. The first statement is obvious. Note that $a_d > 0$ and $\min\{a_d, \dots, a_0\} < 0$. The second statement is obtained by

$$s_m(\alpha; \xi) + \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi \alpha^{-m-i}\} = \xi \alpha^{-m-d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \alpha^{d-i} = 0$$

and $0 \leq \{\xi \alpha^{-m-i}\} < 1$ for any i with $0 \leq i \leq d$. □

PROPOSITION 4.1. (1) *If $\xi \geq 0$, then the integral part $[\xi \alpha^n]$ and fractional part $\{\xi \alpha^n\}$ are given by*

$$[\xi \alpha^n] = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) s_{i+j-n}(\alpha; \xi) \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\{\xi \alpha^n\} = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) s_{i+j-n}(\alpha; \xi), \quad (4.3)$$

respectively. In particular,

$$\xi \alpha^n = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) s_{i+j-n}(\alpha; \xi). \quad (4.4)$$

(2) *If $\xi < 0$, then the representation of fractional part (4.3) holds.*

REMARK 4.1. Let $\xi \geq 0$. Then, by the first statement of Lemma 4.1, the right-hand side of (4.2) is a finite sum.

Now note that the sequence $(s_m(\alpha; \xi))_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is bounded by the second statement of Lemma 4.1 and that the series

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_h^i \alpha_l^j$$

converges for every h, l with $1 \leq h \leq p$, $1 + p \leq l \leq d$. Thus, by using Lemma 3.1, we conclude that the right-hand side of (4.3) converges.

REMARK 4.2. Let $M(\alpha) = a_d |\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p|$ be the Mahler measure of α and put

$$\sigma(\alpha) = (-1)^{p-1} \frac{a_d \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}{M(\alpha)} \in \{1, -1\}.$$

Then by Lemma 3.2 and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) &= \sum_{l=1}^p \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq h \leq p \\ h \neq l}} \frac{-\alpha_l^{-1} \alpha_h^{-1}}{\alpha_l^{-1} - \alpha_h^{-1}} \right) \alpha_l^{i+p-1} \\ &= (-1)^{p-1} \left(\prod_{h=1}^p \alpha_h^{-1} \right) \rho_{-i-p}(\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_p^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

the representation (4.3) is rewritten by

$$\{\xi \alpha^n\} = \frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{M(\alpha)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_p^{-1}) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) s_{j-i-n-p}(\alpha; \xi) \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, if $\xi \geq 0$, then

$$[\xi \alpha^n] = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) s_{i-n}(\alpha; \xi) \quad (4.6)$$

by using (2.1).

Proof of Proposition 4.1. It suffices to check (4.5) and (4.6). We put

$$q = d - p, \quad \mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = (\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d).$$

Moreover, write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} &= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d), \\ \mathbf{a}^{-1} &= (\alpha_1^{-1}, \dots, \alpha_p^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For $h \geq 0$ and $t \geq 1$, let $e_h(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ be defined by (3.3). By relations between coefficients and roots of a polynomial, we get

$$\frac{1}{a_d} s_m(\alpha; \xi) = \sum_{h=0}^d (-1)^h e_h(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) [\xi \alpha^{-m-h}],$$

Thus, if $\xi \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) s_{i-n}(\alpha; \xi) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^d (-1)^h e_h(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \rho_i(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) [\xi \alpha^{n-i-h}] \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [\xi \alpha^{n-l}] \sum_{h=0}^{\min\{l, d\}} (-1)^h \rho_{l-h}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) e_h(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \\
&= [\xi \alpha^n],
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.2).

Similarly, by

$$s_m(\alpha; \xi) = - \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi \alpha^{-m-i}\}$$

and

$$e_m(\mathbf{a}) = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p e_{p-m}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \quad (0 \leq m \leq p),$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{a_d} s_m(\alpha; \xi) = - \sum_{h=0}^d (-1)^h e_h(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \{\xi \alpha^{-m-h}\}.$$

If $q = 0$, then $p = d$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{a_d} s_m(\alpha; \xi) = (-1)^{d-1} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_d \sum_{h=0}^d (-1)^h e_h(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \{\xi \alpha^{h-d-m}\},$$

and so by (3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{M(\alpha)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) s_{-i-n-d}(\alpha; \xi) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^d (-1)^h e_h(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \rho_i(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \{\xi \alpha^{h+i+n}\} = \{\xi \alpha^n\},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.5).

In the case of $q \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a_d} s_m(\alpha; \xi) &= - \sum_{h=0}^p \sum_{l=0}^q (-1)^{h+l} e_h(\mathbf{a}) e_l(\mathbf{b}) \{\xi \alpha^{-m-h-l}\} \\
&= (-1)^{p-1} \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \sum_{h=0}^p \sum_{l=0}^q (-1)^{h+l} e_h(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) e_l(\mathbf{b}) \{\xi \alpha^{h-p-l-m}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by using (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{M(\alpha)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \rho_j(\mathbf{b}) s_{j-i-n-p}(\alpha; \xi) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^q (-1)^h e_h(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \rho_i(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) (-1)^l e_l(\mathbf{b}) \rho_j(\mathbf{b}) \{\xi \alpha^{h+i+n-j-l}\} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^p (-1)^h e_h(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \rho_i(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \{\xi \alpha^{h+i+n}\} = \{\xi \alpha^n\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 4.1. Let α be a rational number a/b , where $a > b > 0$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then Proposition 4.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\xi \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^n \right] &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^i s_{i-n} \left(\frac{a}{b}; \xi \right), \\
\left\{ \xi \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^n \right\} &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^i s_{i-n} \left(\frac{a}{b}; \xi \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $\xi \geq 0$. This is the companion representation of ξ , which is written in [1].

Example 4.2. Let $\alpha > 1$ be a quadratic irrational number. We assume $p = 1$. Then by Proposition 4.1

$$\begin{aligned}
[\xi \alpha^n] &= \frac{1}{a_2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha, \alpha_2) s_{i-n}(\alpha; \xi), \\
\{\xi \alpha^n\} &= \frac{1}{a_2 \alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-i} \alpha_2^j s_{j-i-n-1}(\alpha; \xi) \\
&= \frac{1}{a_2(\alpha - \alpha_2)} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^{\min\{0, h\}} \alpha_2^{\max\{0, h\}} s_{h-n-1}(\alpha; \xi).
\end{aligned}$$

5 Digital sequences

Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number with no conjugate whose absolute value is 1. We use the same notation as in the previous section. We observed for a nonnegative ξ that the integral part $[\xi \alpha^n]$ and the fractional part $\{\xi \alpha^n\}$ are written by the digital sequence $(s_m(\alpha; \xi))_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$. We now characterize this sequence by considering the generating function of $[\xi \alpha^n]$ and $\{\xi \alpha^n\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). Recall that if $\xi \geq 0$, then $s_m(\alpha; \xi) = 0$ for any sufficiently large m .

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let ξ be a nonnegative number.*

(1) *For any integer n , the finite sum*

$$\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) s_{i-n}(\alpha; \xi)$$

is a rational integer.

(2) If $2 \leq k \leq p$, then

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_k^i s_i(\alpha; \xi) = 0.$$

Proof. The first statement is obvious by Proposition 4.1. Now we prove the second one. Since $s_m(\alpha; \alpha^{-1}\xi) = s_{m+1}(\alpha; \xi)$, we may assume $[\xi\alpha^m] = 0$ for any $m < 0$. Put

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\xi\alpha^n] z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{\xi\alpha^n\} z^n.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\xi}{1 - \alpha z} - g(z) = f(z).$$

Let $P_\alpha^*(z) = a_0 z^d + a_1 z^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\xi}{1 - \alpha z} - g(z) \right) P_\alpha^*(z) &= f(z) P_\alpha^*(z) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i,j \geq 0 \\ i+j=h}} [\xi\alpha^i] a_{d-j} z^h \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=h-d}^h [\xi\alpha^i] a_{d-h+i} z^h = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} s_{-h}(\alpha; \xi) z^h. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the region of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$\left(\frac{\xi}{1 - \alpha z} - g(z) \right) P_\alpha^*(z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} s_{-h}(\alpha; \xi) z^h. \quad (5.1)$$

Since $0 \leq \{\xi\alpha^n\} < 1$ for any n , the left-hand side of (5.1) is a meromorphic function on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$. Moreover, because the sequence $s_{-h}(\alpha; \xi)$ ($h = 0, 1, \dots$) is bounded, the right-hand side of (5.1) converges for $|z| < 1$. Hence (5.1) holds for $|z| < 1$. In particular, since the left-hand side of (5.1) has a zero at $z = \alpha_k^{-1}$ with $2 \leq k \leq p$, we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k^{-i} s_{-i}(\alpha; \xi) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_k^i s_i(\alpha; \xi).$$

□

The decimal numeral system gives the correspondence between nonnegative numbers and sequences of digits $0, 1, \dots, 9$. In what follows, we show that sequences satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 5.1 represents the fractional parts of certain geometric progressions.

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_m)_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence of integers. Assume that $x_m = 0$ for all sufficiently large m . Suppose further that

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_k^i x_i = 0 \quad (5.2)$$

for any k with $2 \leq k \leq p$ and that the finite sum

$$\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i-n} \quad (5.3)$$

is a rational integer for any n . Let

$$\xi = \xi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j}. \quad (5.4)$$

Then for any n

$$\xi \alpha^n = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j-n}. \quad (5.5)$$

In particular,

$$\xi \alpha^n \equiv \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j-n} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$$

REMARK 5.1. Let n be an integer. Then, since $x_m = 0$ for all sufficiently large m , the series

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j-n} \\ &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i-n} \end{aligned}$$

is a finite sum. By using Lemma 3.1, we also deduce that the series

$$\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j-n}$$

converges.

Proof. Since (5.3) is a rational integer, it suffices to check (5.5). By using (3.4) and (5.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{h-j}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) x_h \\ &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{l=2}^p \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_l} \right) \alpha^{h-j+p-1} x_h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi \alpha^n &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{l=2}^p \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_l} \right) \alpha^{n+h-j+p-1} x_h \\
&= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{h-j}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) x_{h-n} \\
&= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

REMARK 5.2. $\xi(x)$ defined by Proposition 5.2 is not necessarily a nonnegative number.

In the end of this section, we introduce a lemma which we use to prove Corollary 2.2 and the first statement of Theorem 2.3.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $(u_m)_{m=-d}^{\infty}$ and $(y_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ be sequences of integers. Assume that $(u_m)_{m=-d}^{\infty}$ is not ultimately periodic and that $(y_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is ultimately periodic. Suppose further*

$$y_m = a_d u_m + a_{d-1} u_{m-1} + \dots + a_0 u_{m-d}$$

for any $m \geq 0$. Then $a_d = 1$, namely, α is an algebraic integer.

For the proof of Lemma 5.1, we begin with Lemma 1 of [5] which is rewritten from [4]:

LEMMA 5.2. *If $P(x) = a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + a_0 = a_d (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ has distinct roots and*

$$X_1 \alpha_1^j + \dots + X_d \alpha_d^j = Z_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, d-1,$$

then, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$,

$$X_j = \frac{1}{P'(\alpha_j)} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \beta_{j,k} Z_k,$$

where

$$\beta_{j,k} = \sum_{l=k+1}^d a_l \alpha_j^{l-k-1}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Assume that $a_d \geq 2$. Write the period of the sequence $(y_m)_{m=0}^{\infty}$ by T . Put $w_n = u_{n+T} - u_n$. If n is sufficiently large, then $y_{n+T} = y_n$ and so

$$a_d w_n + a_{d-1} w_{n-1} + \dots + a_0 w_{n-d} = 0.$$

Hence, there are a natural number n_0 and complex numbers ξ_1, \dots, ξ_d such that, for any $n \geq n_0$,

$$w_n = \xi_1 \alpha_1^n + \dots + \xi_d \alpha_d^n. \quad (5.6)$$

Let $m \geq n_0$. Apply Lemma 5.2 to the linear system

$$X_1 \alpha_1^{n-m} + \dots + X_d \alpha_d^{n-m} = w_n, \quad n = m, m+1, \dots, m+d-1$$

with variables $X_j = \xi_j \alpha_j^m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Thus we get

$$P'_\alpha(\alpha_j) \xi_j \alpha_j^m = G_m(\alpha_j) \quad (5.7)$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$, where G_m is an integer polynomial of degree at most $d-1$.

Now suppose that $\xi_1 = 0$. By (5.7), ξ_1, \dots, ξ_d are algebraic numbers and conjugate over \mathbb{Q} . Therefore, $\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_d = 0$. By (5.6) we have $w_n = u_{n+T} - u_n = 0$ for $n \geq n_0$. This is impossible since $(u_m)_{m=-d}^\infty$ is not ultimately periodic. Finally we obtain $\xi_1 \neq 0$.

Take a nonzero integer R for which

$$\frac{R}{P'_\alpha(\alpha)\xi_1}, \frac{R\alpha}{P'_\alpha(\alpha)\xi_1}, \dots, \frac{R\alpha^{d-1}}{P'_\alpha(\alpha)\xi_1}$$

are algebraic integers. Then $R\alpha^m = (RG_m(\alpha))/(P'_\alpha(\alpha)\xi_1)$ is an algebraic integer for every sufficiently large m . However, by considering the factorization of $R\alpha^m$ into prime ideals, we see that this is impossible since α is not an algebraic integer. \square

6 Proof of the main results

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $a_d X^d + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of α . Define the sequences $(u_m)_{m=-d}^\infty$ and $(y_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u_{-d} &= u_{-d+1} = \dots = u_{-1} = 0, \\ u_0 &= 1, \quad y_0 = a_d \end{aligned}$$

and, for $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_m &= - \left[\frac{a_{d-1} u_{m-1} + \dots + a_0 u_{m-d}}{a_d} \right], \\ y_m &= a_d \left\{ \frac{a_{d-1} u_{m-1} + \dots + a_0 u_{m-d}}{a_d} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$y_m = a_d u_m + a_{d-1} u_{m-1} + \dots + a_0 u_{m-d}$$

for any $m \geq 0$. Moreover, $y_m \in \{0, 1, \dots, a_d - 1\}$ for $m \geq 1$.

Put

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n z^n,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= (a_d + a_{d-1}z + \cdots + a_0z^d)g(z) \\ &= a_d(1 - \alpha z) \prod_{i=2}^d (1 - \alpha_i z) g(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y_i z^i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) z^j \\ &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i+j \geq 0 \\ i+j=n}} y_i \rho_j(\alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) z^n. \end{aligned}$$

We now define the two-sided sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_m)_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$x_m = \begin{cases} 0 & (m > 0), \\ y_{-m} & (m \leq 0). \end{cases}$$

Then \mathbf{x} satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 5.2. In fact, if $n < 0$, then (5.3) is zero. In the case where $n \geq 0$,

$$\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i-n} = u_n$$

is a rational integer. Moreover, (5.2) clearly holds since $p = 1$. Put

$$v_n = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j-n}$$

for integer n . Then Proposition 5.2 implies

$$\xi(\mathbf{x})\alpha^n = u_n + v_n \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\xi(\mathbf{x})\alpha^n \equiv v_n \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}, \tag{6.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j} \\ &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \alpha^{-j} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} x_h \alpha^h \\ &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\alpha^{-1}\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha^{-1}\alpha_d) \sum_{h=-\infty}^0 x_h \alpha^h \\ &= \frac{1}{a_d \prod_{i=2}^d (1 - \alpha^{-1}\alpha_i)} \sum_{h=-\infty}^0 x_h \alpha^h. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\xi(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ since $x_0 = a_d$ and $x_m \geq 0$ for $m \leq -1$. Since $0 \leq x_m \leq a_d - 1$ for $m \leq -1$ and since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{\substack{i < 0, j \geq 0 \\ i+j=n}} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) x_0 = 0,$$

every limit point of the sequence $(v_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ is denoted by

$$v' = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \theta_{i,j},$$

where $\theta_{i,j} \in \{0, 1, \dots, a_d - 1\}$. Putting

$$\nu_+ = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \max\{0, \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)\} \quad (6.3)$$

and

$$\nu_- = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \max\{0, -\rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)\}, \quad (6.4)$$

we obtain

$$-\frac{a_d - 1}{a_d(\alpha - 1)} \nu_- \leq v' \leq \frac{a_d - 1}{a_d(\alpha - 1)} \nu_+. \quad (6.5)$$

By (6.2), (6.5) and $\nu = \nu_+ + \nu_-$, we verified the theorem. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.2. We use the same notation as the proof of Theorem 2.1. In the case of $-1 < \alpha_2 < 0$, the corollary follows from (6.5) and

$$\nu_+ = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_2^2}, \quad \nu_- = -\frac{\alpha_2}{1 - \alpha_2^2}.$$

We now assume $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$. Then v_n is rewritten by

$$v_n = \frac{1}{a_2(\alpha - \alpha_2)} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{n+1} \alpha^{\min\{0, h\}} \alpha_2^{\max\{0, h\}} x_{h-n-1}.$$

(6.5) implies that the sequence $(v_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ is bounded. On the other hand, by using (6.1) and $\xi(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, we deduce that the sequence $(u_m)_{m=-d}^\infty$ is not ultimately periodic. Thus, since $a_2 \geq 2$, Lemma 5.1 means that the sequence $(x_{-m})_{m=0}^\infty$ is not ultimately periodic. In particular, by $x_m \in \{0, 1, \dots, a_2 - 1\}$ ($m \leq -1$), there exists an $M > 0$ with

$$x_{-M} \leq a_2 - 2. \quad (6.6)$$

By (6.6) and $x_0 = a_2$, if $n \geq M$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
v_n &\leq \frac{1}{a_2(\alpha - \alpha_2)} \left(\sum_{\substack{h=-\infty \\ h \neq n+1, n+1-M}}^{\infty} \alpha^{\min\{0, h\}} \alpha_2^{\max\{0, h\}} (a_2 - 1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha_2^{n+1} a_2 + \alpha_2^{n+1-M} (a_2 - 2) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{a_2(\alpha - \alpha_2)} \left((a_2 - 1) \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^{\min\{0, h\}} \alpha_2^{\max\{0, h\}} + \alpha_2^{n+1} - \alpha_2^{n+1-M} \right) \\
&< \frac{a_2 - 1}{a_2(\alpha - 1)(1 - \alpha_2)} = \frac{a_2 - 1}{|P_\alpha(1)|}
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq M$. By putting

$$\xi' = \xi(\mathbf{x})\alpha^M,$$

we obtain

$$\{\xi' \alpha^n\} < \frac{a_2 - 1}{|P_\alpha(1)|}$$

for any $n \geq 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3. For the proof of the first statement, we use the same notation as the proof of Theorem 2.1. If $d \geq 2$, then we may assume that $1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_d > 0$. Then by using Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho_m(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \alpha_2^{-m} = \prod_{j=3}^d \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_j}.$$

Hence, there is an $M > 0$ such that, for any $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$ with $m_1 \geq m_2 + M$,

$$\rho_{m_1}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) < \rho_{m_2}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d).$$

On the other hand, we can deduce that the sequence $(x_{-m})_{m=0}^\infty$ is not ultimately periodic in the same way as the proof of Corollary 2.2. Therefore, there exists an $\widetilde{M} > 0$ satisfying $\widetilde{M} > M$ and $x_{-\widetilde{M}} \leq a_d - 2$. Thus by using $x_0 = a_d$ we get, for $n \geq \widetilde{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq v_n &\leq \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{\substack{i < 0, j \geq 0 \\ i+j \neq n, n-\widetilde{M}}} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) (a_d - 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{\substack{i < 0, j \geq 0 \\ i+j = n}} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) a_d + \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{\substack{i < 0, j \geq 0 \\ i+j = n-\widetilde{M}}} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) (a_d - 2) \\
&= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i < 0, j \geq 0} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) (a_d - 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{\substack{i < 0, j \geq 0 \\ i+j = n}} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) - \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{\substack{i < 0, j \geq 0 \\ i+j = n-\widetilde{M}}} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widetilde{M} > M$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{\substack{i < 0, j \geq 0 \\ i+j=n}} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) - \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{\substack{i < 0, j \geq 0 \\ i+j=n-\widetilde{M}}} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \\ &= \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \alpha^i \left(\rho_{n-i}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) - \rho_{n-i-\widetilde{M}}(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$0 \leq v_n < \frac{a_d - 1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \alpha^i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) = \frac{a_d - 1}{|P_\alpha(1)|}.$$

By combining this inequality with (6.2), we proved the first statement.

We now verify the second statement. We define ν_+ and ν_- by (6.3) and (6.4), respectively. Let us choose a positive integer A with

$$\left\{ -\frac{a_d - 1}{a_d(\alpha - 1)} \nu_- + \frac{A}{|P_\alpha(1)|} \right\} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{|P_\alpha(1)|} \right]. \quad (6.7)$$

Write the left-hand side of (6.7) by η . Put $P_\alpha(X) = a_d X^d + \dots + a_0$. We define the sequences $(u'_m)_{m=-d}^\infty$ and $(y'_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ by

$$u'_{-d} = u'_{-d+1} = \dots = u'_{-1} = 0$$

and, for $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} u'_m &= - \left[\frac{-A + a_{d-1} u'_{m-1} + \dots + a_0 u'_{m-d}}{a_d} \right], \\ y'_m &= A + a_d \left\{ \frac{-A + a_{d-1} u'_{m-1} + \dots + a_0 u'_{m-d}}{a_d} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get, for any $m \geq 0$,

$$y'_m = a_d u'_m + a_{d-1} u'_{m-1} + \dots + a_0 u'_{m-d}$$

and

$$y'_m \in \{A, A+1, \dots, A+a_d-1\}.$$

Since the rest of proof is same as that of Theorem 2.1 we give only its sketch. Define $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_m)_{m=-\infty}^\infty$ and $\xi(\mathbf{x}')$ by

$$x'_m = \begin{cases} 0 & (m > 0), \\ y'_{-m} & (m \leq 0) \end{cases}$$

and by (5.4), respectively. Then, because $x'_m > 0$ for $m \leq 0$, we get $\xi(\mathbf{x}') \neq 0$. Moreover, every limit point of the sequence $\xi \alpha^n \bmod \mathbb{Z}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) is written by

$$\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \theta'_{i,j} \bmod \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\theta_{i,j} \in \{A, A+1, \dots, A+a_d-1\}$. By putting

$$w' = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \theta'_{i,j},$$

we get

$$-\frac{a_d-1}{a_d(\alpha-1)}\nu_- + \frac{A}{|P_\alpha(1)|} \leq w' \leq \frac{a_d-1}{a_d(\alpha-1)}\nu_+ + \frac{A}{|P_\alpha(1)|}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \eta &\leq w' - \left[-\frac{a_d-1}{a_d(\alpha-1)}\nu_- + \frac{A}{|P_\alpha(1)|} \right] \\ &\leq \eta + \frac{a_d-1}{a_d(\alpha-1)}\nu < \frac{1}{|P_\alpha(1)|} + \frac{1}{2} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we get

$$w' \bmod \mathbb{Z} \in \tau \left(\left[\eta, \eta + \frac{a_d-1}{a_d(\alpha-1)}\nu \right] \right).$$

Since

$$\left[\eta, \eta + \frac{a_d-1}{a_d(\alpha-1)}\nu \right] \subset (0, 1),$$

we obtain

$$\eta \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} \leq \eta + \frac{a_d-1}{a_d(\alpha-1)}\nu$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let $a_d X^d + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of α . It suffices to prove the theorem in the case of

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} < \delta_1.$$

Moreover, we may assume

$$\{\xi \alpha^n\} < \delta_1$$

for any $n \geq -d$. Let $\sigma(\alpha)$ be defined as in Remark 4.2. We verify that if $m \leq 0$, then $\sigma(\alpha)s_m(\alpha; \xi)$ is a nonnegative integer. Suppose $\sigma(\alpha) = (-1)^{p-1} = 1$. Then, since $P_\alpha(X)$ has exactly p zeros on the interval $(1, \infty)$,

$$0 > P_\alpha(1) = L_+(\alpha) - L_-(\alpha),$$

namely,

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{L_+(\alpha)}.$$

Thus we get

$$s_m(\alpha; \xi) = - \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi \alpha^{-m-i}\} > -L_+(\alpha) \delta_1 = -1.$$

In the case of $\sigma(\alpha) = -1$, we have

$$0 < P_\alpha(1) = L_+(\alpha) - L_-(\alpha),$$

namely,

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{L_-(\alpha)}.$$

Hence,

$$s_m(\alpha; \xi) = - \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi \alpha^{-m-i}\} < L_-(\alpha) \delta_1 = 1.$$

Since $\lim_{|l| \rightarrow \infty} \eta_l = 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\eta_N = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_l$. By (4.5) we get

$$\{\xi \alpha^n\} = \frac{1}{M(\alpha)} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_l \sigma(\alpha) s_{l-n-p}(\alpha; \xi).$$

Lemma 1 of [6] implies that $\sigma(\alpha) s_m(\alpha; \xi) \geq 1$ for infinitely many $m \leq 0$. Thus, since $\eta_l \geq 0$ for any integer l and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M(\alpha)} \sum_{l=n+p+1}^{\infty} \eta_l \sigma(\alpha) s_{l-n-p}(\alpha; \xi) = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} \geq \frac{1}{M(\alpha)} \eta_N = \delta_2.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Theorem 2.4 means

$$\inf_{\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} \geq \delta_2.$$

It suffices to show that there exists a $\xi \notin \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi \alpha^n\} = \delta_2.$$

Let the sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_m)_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be defined as follows:

$$x_m = \begin{cases} 1 & (n = -m! \text{ for some } m \geq 1), \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Then \mathbf{x} satisfies the assumptions of Propositions 5.2. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^i \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{i+j} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-j} \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^h x_h.\end{aligned}$$

The transcendency of $\xi(\mathbf{x})$ has been proved for instance in [13]. By proposition 5.2 we get

$$\xi(\mathbf{x})\alpha^n \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-i} \rho_j(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) x_{j-i-n-1} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

and so

$$\xi(\mathbf{x})\alpha^n \equiv \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_l x_{l-n-1} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Note that there exists an N with $\eta_N = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_l$. Put $\Lambda = \{m! + N - 1 \mid m \geq 1\}$. Then we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \Lambda} \{\xi(\mathbf{x})\alpha^n\} = \frac{\eta_N}{\alpha} = \delta_2$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty, n \notin \Lambda} \{\xi(\mathbf{x})\alpha^n\} < \delta_2.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\xi(\mathbf{x})\alpha^n\} = \delta_2.$$

□

Proof of Corollary 2.6. δ_1, δ_2 , which are defined in Theorem 2.4, are rewritten by

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{a_2 + a_0} = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha\alpha_2}$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_2}.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\delta_1 - \delta_2 = \frac{\alpha - 1 - (\alpha + 1)\alpha_2}{(1 + \alpha\alpha_2)(\alpha - \alpha_2)} \geq 0. \quad (6.8)$$

First, we assume $\alpha > 1 + 2\sqrt{2}$. Then

$$\delta_1 - \delta_2 > \frac{\alpha - 1 + (-2 + \sqrt{2})(\alpha + 1)}{(1 + \alpha\alpha_2)(\alpha - \alpha_2)} > 0.$$

On the other hand, it is easily seen that if $\alpha \leq 1 + 2\sqrt{2}$ and $\alpha_2 < 2 - \sqrt{2}$, then $\alpha = 2 + \sqrt{3}$ or $\alpha = (3 + \sqrt{5})/2$. (6.8) holds in each case. □

7 Note on Mahler's Z-numbers

Mahler conjectured that there does not exist a positive number ξ satisfying

$$\left\{ \xi \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^n \right\} < \frac{1}{2}$$

for all integers $n \geq 0$. Such a ξ is called a Z-number. Mahler's First Theorem [8, 12] implies for any $u \geq 0$ that there exists at most one Z-number whose integral part coincides with u . Flatto [8] generalized the theorem above as follows.

Let $u \geq 0$ and $a > b \geq 1$ be integers. Assume that a and b are coprime. Then there exists at most one positive ξ satisfying

$$[\xi] = u$$

and, for any $n \geq 0$,

$$\left\{ \xi \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^n \right\} < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{b}, \frac{b}{a} \right\}.$$

In this section we introduce generalization of these results to the powers of algebraic numbers.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number and let $a_d(> 0)$ be the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of α . Suppose that α has no conjugate on the unit circle. Let y be a positive number. If $L_-(\alpha) \geq L_+(\alpha)$, then assume that*

$$L_+(\alpha)y + [L_-(\alpha)y] \leq a_d. \quad (7.1)$$

Otherwise, suppose that

$$L_-(\alpha)y + [L_+(\alpha)y] \leq a_d. \quad (7.2)$$

Then there exist at most countably many nonzero ξ such that

$$\{\xi \alpha^n\} < y$$

for any n .

Example 7.1. Let us recall that $\theta_1 (= 24.97\dots)$ is the unique zero of the polynomial $2X^2 - 50X + 1$ with $X > 1$. We have

$$L_+(\theta_1) = 3, \quad L_-(\theta_1) = 50.$$

Put

$$S_y = \{\xi \neq 0 \mid \{\xi \theta_1^n\} < y \text{ for any } n \geq 0\}$$

for positive y . If $y < 1/25 = 0.04$, then (7.1) holds. Thus the cardinality of S_y is at most countable by Theorem 7.1. Assume further $y \geq 1/47 = 0.02127\dots$. Then S_y is not empty by Example 2.1. Moreover, S_y is a countably infinite set. In fact, take an element $\xi = \xi(\theta_1) \in S_y$. So we have

$$S_y \supset \{\xi \theta_1^m \mid m \geq 0\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Suppose

$$L_-(\alpha) \geq L_+(\alpha). \quad (7.3)$$

First, note that the set S of ξ satisfying $\{\xi\alpha^n\} = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$ is countable. In fact,

$$S \subset \{k\alpha^l | k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Next, let S' be the set of ξ such that

$$0 < \{\xi\alpha^n\} < y \quad (7.4)$$

for any $n \geq 0$. In what follows, we prove that the cardinality of S' is at most countable. Put

$$S_+ = S' \cap (0, \infty), \quad S_- = S' \cap (-\infty, 0).$$

Take any $\xi \in S_+$ and $n \geq d$. Let $a_d X^d + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of α . Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \xi \alpha^{n-i} = \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} ([\xi \alpha^{n-i}] + \{\xi \alpha^{n-i}\}) = 0,$$

we get

$$[\xi \alpha^n] = -\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=1}^d a_{d-i} [\xi \alpha^{n-i}] - \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi \alpha^{n-i}\}. \quad (7.5)$$

By putting

$$I_h = I_h(y) = \left(\frac{h}{a_d} - \frac{L_+(\alpha)}{a_d} y, \frac{h}{a_d} + \frac{L_-(\alpha)}{a_d} y \right) \quad (0 \leq h \leq a_d - 1),$$

we have

$$-\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi \alpha^{n-i}\} \in I_0. \quad (7.6)$$

We now verify for any integer h with $0 \leq h \leq a_d - 1$ that I_h contains at most one integer. If such an integer exists, we denote it by w_h . By putting

$$R = \left\lceil \frac{h + L_-(\alpha)y}{a_d} \right\rceil,$$

we get

$$Ra_d - L_-(\alpha)y \leq h < (R+1)a_d - L_-(\alpha)y.$$

Since h is a rational integer, by (7.1)

$$h \geq Ra_d - [L_-(\alpha)y] \geq (R-1)a_d + L_+(\alpha)y,$$

and so $I_h \subset (R-1, R+1)$.

By (7.5), (7.6), $[\xi\alpha^n]$ is calculated as follows:

$$[\xi\alpha^n] = -\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=1}^d [\xi\alpha^{n-i}] - \frac{h}{a_d} + w_h,$$

where

$$-\sum_{i=1}^d a_{d-i} [\xi\alpha^{n-i}] \equiv h \pmod{a_d} \text{ with } h \in \{0, 1, \dots, -1 + a_d\}.$$

Thus, if $\xi \in S_+$ and $n \geq d$, then $[\xi\alpha^n]$ depends only on $[\xi\alpha^{n-1}], \dots, [\xi\alpha^{n-d}]$. Therefore, the two-sided sequences $([\xi\alpha^m])_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $(s_m(\alpha; \xi))_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ are obtained by $([\xi\alpha^m])_{m=-\infty}^{d-1}$. Note that the cardinality of the set

$$\{([\xi\alpha^m])_{m=-\infty}^{d-1} | \xi \in S_+\}$$

is at most countable because $[\xi\alpha^{-m}] = 0$ for all sufficiently large m . By Proposition 4.1, $\xi \in S_+$ is uniquely determined by the sequence $(s_m(\alpha; \xi))_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$, and so by $([\xi\alpha^m])_{m=-\infty}^{d-1}$. Consequently, the cardinality of S_+ is at most countable.

Next, we verify that S_- is a countable set. Let $\xi \in S_-$. Note for $m \geq 0$ that

$$1 - \{-\xi\alpha^m\} = \{\xi\alpha^m\}$$

since $\xi\alpha^m \notin \mathbb{Z}$. If $n \geq d$, then

$$[-\xi\alpha^n] = -\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=1}^d a_{d-i} [-\xi\alpha^{n-i}] - \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_i + \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi\alpha^{n-i}\}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \{\xi\alpha^{n-i}\} \in I'_0,$$

where

$$I'_h = I'_h(y) = \left(\frac{h}{a_d} - \frac{L_-(\alpha)}{a_d} y, \frac{h}{a_d} + \frac{L_+(\alpha)}{a_d} y \right) \quad (0 \leq h \leq a_d - 1).$$

The interval I'_h has at most one integer point. If such an integer exists, we denote it by w'_h . In fact, by putting

$$R' = 1 + \left\lceil \frac{h - L_-(\alpha)y}{a_d} \right\rceil,$$

we get $I'_h \subset (R' - 1, R' + 1)$. Thus, if $n \geq d$, we calculate the value $[-\xi\alpha^n]$ by using $[-\xi\alpha^{n-1}], \dots, [-\xi\alpha^{n-d}]$ as follows:

$$[-\xi\alpha^n] = -\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=1}^d a_{d-i} [-\xi\alpha^{n-i}] - \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_i - \frac{h}{a_d} + w'_h,$$

where

$$-\sum_{i=1}^d a_{d-i}[-\xi\alpha^{n-i}] - \sum_{i=0}^d a_i \equiv h \pmod{a_d} \text{ with } h \in \{0, 1, \dots, -1 + a_d\}.$$

Finally, by Proposition 4.1 $-\xi$ depends only on $([-\xi\alpha^m])_{m=-\infty}^{d-1}$, which implies that the cardinality of S_- is at most countable. We can also verify the theorem in the case of $L_-(\alpha) < L_+(\alpha)$ in the same way as above by showing that $I_h \subset (R^{(2)} - 1, R^{(2)} + 1)$ for $0 \leq h \leq a_d - 1$, where

$$R^{(2)} = 1 + \left\lceil \frac{h - L_+(\alpha)y}{a_d} \right\rceil$$

and that $I'_h \subset (R^{(3)} - 1, R^{(3)} + 1)$ for $0 \leq h \leq a_d - 1$, where

$$R^{(3)} = \left\lceil \frac{h + L_+(\alpha)y}{a_d} \right\rceil.$$

□

Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number and y a positive number. Suppose that y satisfies the assumption of Theorem 7.1. Then by Theorem 7.1 there exist at most countably many nonzero ξ such that all limit points of the sequence $\xi\alpha^n \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) lie in $\tau([0, y])$. We now consider the cardinality of the set of real ξ such that all limit points of $\xi\alpha^n \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) lie in a given interval in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

THEOREM 7.2. *Let $\alpha > 1$ be an algebraic number and $a_d(> 0)$ the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of α . Suppose that α does not have a conjugate on the unit circle. Let J be any interval in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} such that its Haar measure satisfies*

$$\mu(J) < \frac{a_d}{L(\alpha)}. \quad (7.7)$$

Then there exist at most countably many real ξ such that all limit points of $\xi\alpha^n \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) lie in J .

REMARK 7.1. Let $J = \tau([0, y])$ ($y > 0$). Then (7.7) is rewritten by

$$L(\alpha)y < a_d.$$

The assumption above is stronger than (7.1) and (7.2). In fact,

$$L_+(\alpha)y + [L_-(\alpha)y] \leq L(\alpha)y$$

and

$$L_+(\alpha)y + [L_-(\alpha)y] \leq L(\alpha)y.$$

Example 7.2. We consider the case of $\alpha = \theta_1$ again. For any interval J in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} with $\mu(J) < 2/53 = 0.03773\dots (< 1/25)$, there exist at most countably many real ξ such that all limit points of $\xi\alpha^n \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) lie in J .

Proof of Theorem 7.2. It suffices to prove the following:

LEMMA 7.1. *Let J' be any interval in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} with length*

$$\mu(J') < \frac{a_d}{L(\alpha)}.$$

Then there are at most countably many real ξ such that

$$\xi\alpha^n \bmod \mathbb{Z} \in J'$$

for any $n \geq 0$.

We check that Lemma 7.1 implies Theorem 7.2. Without loss of generality, we may assume that J is closed. Write J by

$$J = \tau([y_1, y_2]),$$

where $y_1 < y_2$ are real numbers with $y_2 - y_1 < a_d/L(\alpha)$. Take a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$y_2 - y_1 + 2\varepsilon < \frac{a_d}{L(\alpha)}.$$

Put

$$J' = \tau([y_1 - \varepsilon, y_2 + \varepsilon]).$$

Let S (resp. S') be the set of ξ satisfying the properties of Theorem 7.2 (resp. Lemma 7.1). Then, since

$$S \subset \{\xi\alpha^m | m \in \mathbb{Z}, \xi \in S'\},$$

the cardinality of S is at most countable.

Let us verify Lemma 7.1. It suffices to prove the lemma in the case where J' is denoted as

$$J' = \tau([y, y + \delta]),$$

where $\delta < a_d/L(\alpha)$ and $-1 < y \leq 0$. We choose a real η with $-1 < \eta < y$. Then, for any real x there exist a unique integer $\varphi(x)$ and a real number $\psi(x)$ with $\psi(x) \in [\eta, \eta + 1)$ satisfying

$$x = \varphi(x) + \psi(x).$$

Note that 0 is an inner point of $[\eta, \eta + 1)$ since $-1 < \eta < 0$. Thus, if ξ is a real number, then we have $\psi(\xi\alpha^{-n}) = \xi\alpha^{-n}$ and $\varphi(\xi\alpha^{-n}) = 0$ for all sufficiently large n .

In the rest of the proof, we show that $\xi \in S'$ is uniquely determined by a sequence $(\varphi(\xi\alpha^m))_{m=-\infty}^{d-1}$. The cardinality of the set of such sequences is at most countable since $\varphi(\xi\alpha^{-n}) = 0$ for all sufficiently large n . Hence the theorem follows.

Let $p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$, and $a_d X^d + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be defined as Section 4. By putting

$$s'_m(\alpha; \xi) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \varphi(\xi\alpha^{-m-i}),$$

we obtain

$$\xi = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \rho_j(\alpha_{1+p}, \dots, \alpha_d) s'_{i+j}(\alpha; \xi). \quad (7.8)$$

The proof of (7.8) is the same as that of (4.4).

We prove for $\xi \in S'$ that $\varphi(\xi\alpha^n)$ depends only on $\varphi(\xi\alpha^{n-1}), \dots, \varphi(\xi\alpha^{n-d})$ for $n \geq d$. By

$$0 = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \xi \alpha^{n-i} = \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \left(\varphi(\xi \alpha^{n-i}) + \psi(\xi \alpha^{n-i}) \right),$$

we get

$$\varphi(\xi \alpha^n) + \frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \psi(\xi \alpha^{n-i}) = -\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=1}^d a_{d-i} \varphi(\xi \alpha^{n-i}). \quad (7.9)$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} \psi(\xi \alpha^{n-i}) \in K,$$

where the interval K is defined by

$$K = \left[\frac{y}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_i - \frac{L_-(\alpha)\delta}{a_d}, \frac{y}{a_d} \sum_{i=0}^d a_i + \frac{L_+(\alpha)\delta}{a_d} \right].$$

Note that $[y, y + \delta] \subset [\eta, \eta + 1)$. So $y \leq \psi(\xi \alpha^n) \leq y + \delta$ for any $n \geq 0$ by the definition of $\psi(x)$ for a real x . Thus the length of K is less than 1 by the assumption of Lemma 7.1. Hence, since $\varphi(\xi \alpha^n)$ is a rational integer, $\varphi(\xi \alpha^n)$ is calculated by (7.9).

Therefore, if $\xi \in S'$, then the sequence $(\varphi(\xi \alpha^m))_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and the value ξ depend only on the sequence $(\varphi(\xi \alpha^m))_{m=-\infty}^{d-1}$. \square

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to Prof. Masayoshi Hata for careful reading and for improving the language of this paper. I would like to thank Prof. Shigeki Akiyama and Prof. Yann Bugeaud for useful suggestions. This work is supported by the JSPS fellowship.

References

- [1] S. Akiyama, C. Frougny, J. Sakarovitch, Powers of rationals modulo 1 and rational base number systems, *Israel J. Math.* **168** (2008), 53-91.
- [2] M. J. Bertin, A. Decomps-Guilloux, M. Grandet-Hugot, M. Pathiaux-Delefosse, and J. P. Schreiber, *Pisot and Salem numbers*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.

- [3] Y. Bugeaud, Linear mod one transformations and the distribution of fractional parts $\{\xi(p/q)^n\}$, *Acta Arith.* **114** (2004), 301-311.
- [4] J. W. S. Cassels, An introduction to Diophantine approximation, Cambridge University Press, 1957.
- [5] A. Dubickas, Arithmetical properties of powers of algebraic numbers, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **38** (2006), 70-80.
- [6] A. Dubickas, On the distance from a rational power to the nearest integer, *J. Number Theory.* **117** (2006), 222-239.
- [7] A. Dubickas, On the fractional parts of lacunary sequences. *Math. Scand.* **99** (2006), 136-146.
- [8] L. Flatto, Z-numbers and β -transformations, in: P. Walters (Ed.), *Symbolic Dynamics and its Applications*, New Haven, 1991, *Contemp. Math.* **135** (1992), 181-201
- [9] L. Flatto, J. C. Lagarias, A. D. Pollington, On the range of fractional parts $\{\xi(p/q)^n\}$, *Acta Arith.* **70** (1995), 125-147.
- [10] H. Kaneko, Distribution of geometric sequences modulo 1, *Result. Math.* **52** (2008), 91-109.
- [11] J. F. Koksma, Ein mengen-theoretischer Satz über Gleichverteilung modulo eins, *Compositio Math.* **2** (1935), 250-258.
- [12] K. Mahler, An unsolved problems on the powers of $3/2$, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **8** (1968), 313-321.
- [13] K. Nishioka, Mahler Functions and Transcendence, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1631, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [14] Ch. Pisot, Répartition (mod 1) des puissances successives des nombres réels, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **19** (1946), 153-160.
- [15] R. Tijdeman, Note on Mahler's $\frac{3}{2}$ -problem, *K. Norske Vidensk. Selsk. Skr.* **16** (1972), 1-4.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University
 Oiwake-tyou
 Kitashirakawa
 Kyoto-shi, Kyoto, Japan
 e-mail: kanekoha@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp